

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ß

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	ГЕ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,781	09/06/200	6	Anne Boer	TS1409 US	4517
23632 SHELL OIL C	7590 COMPANY	11/16/2007		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2463 HOUSTON, TX 772522463				PARSA, JAFAR F	
HOUSTON, I	X 112322403		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	1621	
				-	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		·				
	Office Action Summary	10/591,781 Examiner	BOER ET AL.			
	•					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jafar Parsa	1621			
Period fo	or Reply	out of the cover office man the	ionespondence dudiess			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N, nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Se	eptember 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	·			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗆 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
44)□:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
		,				
Attachment	t(s)		·			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/591,781

Art Unit: 1621

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 12, drawn to a filter system for use in a reactor.

Group II, claim(s) 9-11, drawn to a method for removing a filter from a reactor.

Group III, claim(s) 13, drawn to a process for preparing hydrocarbons.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: because the process for preparing hydrocarbons in a reactor containing a filter system has already been know in the art. See removable filter for slurry hydrocarbon synthesis process by DeGeorge et al (USPN 6,344,490). Therefore, there is no special technical feature that links the aforementioned groups together.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Lundell on 11/9/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jafar Parsa whose telephone number is (571)272-0643. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 a.m.-5:30 p.m. (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bonnie Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,781

Art Unit: 1621

JP.

November 09, 2007

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jafar Parsa

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1621

J. PARSA

PRIMARY EXAMINER